



UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Lecture 1: Complexity and Software Product Lines

Gregory Gay (Portions of the slides by Thorsten Berger) TDA 594/DIT 593 - November 1, 2022





In the beginning...

-0




Software Was Small

• Both physically...

STAT			COTTNEW												F	0	F	1	F	R	A	N			S	T/	A.	r I	EI	M	E	N	T														EH.	nFil	эт	10
0.8	81	0 0 4 5	0.0	10	0 0	01	1	01	00	0 0	0	0 0	01	0.0	0 2 1	0 0	8		0.1	21	0 3 34	0.0	00	80	01	00	0 0	0	8 0 6 0 1 1	01		01	0.0	00	0	11	00	0	00	1	00	1	11	10	80	877	0 0 N 7	0 1	1.0	1 2 1
2:2	2 :	2 2	2 2	2	2 2	2	22	2 :	2 2	2 2	2	2 2	2 2	2 2	2	2 2	2	2 2	2	2 :	2 2	21	22	2 2	2 :	2 2	2 2	2	2 2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2 2	2	2 2	2 3	2 2	2 2	2	22	2 2	2 2	2 2	2 2	2	2 2	2	2 2	2
313	3	3 3	33	3	3 3	3	1.3	3 .	3 3	33	3	3.3	33	3 3	3	3 3	3	3 3	3	3 :	3.3	3 1	3.3	3 3	3	3.3	3 3	3	33	3	3.3	33	3	3 3	3	3 3	3 3	33	3.3	3	3 2	1.3	3 3	3	33	3	3 3	3	3.3	
南	4.	44	44	4	4.4	4.	4	4.	4	4.4	4	44	4.4	4	4	÷ 4	4	4.4	4	4.	4	4.4	4	4.4	6.	4	4.4	4	44	4.	14	4.4	4	44	4	4	4.1	4	4.4	4	4.4	4	4.4	4	44	4	4.4	4	14	1
515	5 :	55	55	5	55	5	5 5	5	5.5	5 5	5	5.5	5	5.5	5	55	5	5 5	5	5 5	5.5	53	\$5	5 5	5	55	55	5	55	5	5 5	5 5	5	55	5	55	5 5	55	5.5	5	5.5	5 5	5 5	5	5 5	5	5 5	5	55	-
6,6	6.1	6 6	66	6	6.6	61	5.6	61	56	6 6	б	5.6	5.8	5.5	б	5.6	б	6.6	б	6 1	5.6	6.8	5.5	6.6	61	56	6.6	б	6.6	6	5.6	6.6	6	6.6	б	5.6	5 1	5.6	5.6	6	5.8	6	6.6	66	6 6	6	6.6	5	5 6	.8
717	7	17	77	7	17	7	7	7	77	77	7	77	77	1	7	77	7	17	7	71	7	71	7	77	7	77	77	7	77	7	17	77	7	77	7	17	77	17	77	7	77	7	77	17	77	7	77	7	17	1
818	8 1	8.8	88	8	8 8	8.	8	81	8.8	8 8	8	8.8	8 8	8	8	8.8	8	8 8	8	8.1	3.8	81	18	8.8	8.	8 8	8 8	8	88	8.	8.8	8 8	8	8.8	8	3 8	8 1	8 8	8.8	8	8.8	8	8 8	18	88	8	8 8	8	8.8	
9:9	9	9.9	99	9	9.9	9		9	99	99	9	9.9	95	. 9	9	9.9	9	9 9	9	9 1	19	93	19	99	9		99	9	99	9		9 9	19	99	9		9 :	. 9	9.9	9	9.9	19	9 9	.9	99	9	9.9	9	9.9	.9

• And in scope.



÷ (





Software Starts to Grow Up

- Languages like C introduce file linking.
 - Enables organization of code and reuse of code.
- SIMULA-67, Smalltalk introduce objects.
 - Enables organization of code into focused units that work with other objects to perform larger tasks.
 - Sections of the code "activate" when needed.
 - We can group together related functionality, ignore unrelated functionality, and find what we need when making changes.
 - Code can be reused in future projects.





Fast forward to the present day...


-0





Our Society Depends on Software

This is software:



So is this:






UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Under the Hood

HALMERS

- Systems have millions of lines of code.
 - In hundreds of classes.
- We want to reuse code.
 - On different hardware.
 - In many different apps.
- We want the systems to live for years.





Growing Pains

- Hard to understand complex systems.
- Hard to find the code you need.
- Hard to plan for new hardware, new variants, ...
- Results in chaos.
 - Only 16.1% of projects delivered on time.
 - 31.1% cancelled before delivery.
 - Delivered projects may be flawed.





Designing Modern Software

- The key to delivering robust software?
 - Designing an understandable, organized system.
 - Verifying that features of the system interact correctly.
 - AKA: "taming the complexity"
- This course is about taming complexity.
 - Exemplified primarily through software product lines.





Today's Goals


Introduce TDA 594/DIT 593 - Software Engineering Principles for Complex Systems

- AKA: What the heck is going on?
- Go over syllabus
- Clarify course expectations
- Assignments/grading
- Answer any questions
- Discuss software complexity
- Introduce the concept of software product lines





TDA/DIT 594 - SE Principles for Complex Systems



-0





Complex??????????

• Variability


- The ability to change and customize software to deliver **variants** to new users.
- Requires designing code to be reused and to work with reused code.
- Changeability and Maintainability
 - The ability to add new features and options while ensuring that existing code still works.

-0



Software Product Lines (SPLs)


- Highly configurable families of systems.
- Built around common, modularized features.
 - Common set of core assets.
- Allows efficient development, customization.







Software Product Lines (SPLs)







Why Software Product Lines?

- Designed **FOR** reuse of assets.
- Designed **TO** reuse assets.
- Successful SPLs are highly configurable, and evolve easily over time.
- Most modern systems intend to achieve at least one of these two tasks.
 - SPLs achieve both.

() UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Teaching Team

• Examiner

CHALMERS

- Greg Gay (<u>ggay@chalmers.se</u>) (Course Responsible)
- Co-Teacher
 - Daniel Strüber (danstru@chalmers.se)
- Supervisors
 - Kevin Ayad (<u>gusayake@student.gu.se</u>)
 - Ricardo Caldas (<u>ricardo.caldas@chalmers.se</u>)
 - Malik Hannan (<u>gusmalikah@student.gu.se</u>)
 - Wardah Mahmood (<u>wardah@chalmers.se</u>)
 - Mazen Mohamad (<u>mazenm@chalmers.se</u>)





Feedback! Questions!

- Ask questions in Canvas discussion forum.
 - If private, contact teachers (about lectures), supervisors (assignments).
- If highly sensitive, contact me (Greg Gay)
- Course feedback should be sent to student representatives.
 - Send feedback early and often!





Course Content

- https://chalmers.instructure.com/courses/20944
 - All lectures, files, reading goes here.
 - Pay attention to the schedule/announcements.
- <u>https://greg4cr.github.io/courses/fall22tda594/</u>
 - Backup of Canvas page/course materials.
 - Likely out of date, but may work if Canvas isn't working.





Course Literature

- Apel, S., Batory, D., Kästner, C., & Saake, G. Feature-oriented software product lines.
- Van der Linden, F. J., Schmid, K., & Rommes, E. Software product lines in action: the best industrial practice in product line engineering.
- Freeman E, Robson E., Bates B., & Sierra, K. Head First Design Patterns.
 - Free via Chalmers library.
- Pezze M., Young M. Software Testing and Analysis: Process, Principles, and Techniques
 - Free via <u>https://ix.cs.uoregon.edu/~michal/book/free.php</u>
- Additional literature will be provided via Canvas.







Course Design

- Language of Instruction: English
- Lectures
 - Tuesday and Thursday, 13:15 15:00.
 - Attendance is highly recommended.
- Supervision
 - Once per week, mandatory attendance.





Examination Form

- Group Project
 - 6.0 Credits, Scale: 3-5 and Fail (U)
 - Four assignments
 - Groups of six students
 - Correspond to topics covered in the lectures
 - Case Studies, Domain Analysis, Modeling, Implementation, Testing









For information about Robocode, see https://robocode.sourceforge.io/ and the RoboWiki (https://robocode.sourceforge.io/ and the

10 15 20 25 30 40 50 65 90 150 1000





Forming Groups (Assignment 0)

- You may choose your groups.
 - 6 people
- Submit one e-mail per group to ggay@chalmers.se
 - Names of all members. I will reply with a group number.
- Indicate in Canvas submission either team number or if you want to be assigned to a team.
- Due Thursday, November 3, 11:59 PM



Examination Form

- Individual Assignment
 - 1.5 credits, Grading scale: 3-5 and Fail (U)
 - One assignment, at end of course.
 - Will assess critical understanding.
- All assignments must be submitted.
- Final grade in group project is average of assignment grades.
- Rubrics provided for each assignment.



Failing and Resubmission

- Late assignments:
 - -20%/loss of a grade level (5->4) (1 day), 40%/loss of two levels (5->3) (2 days), fail (3+ days).
- If final group average is failing, all group assignments must be resubmitted.
- If any assignment is failed, may resubmit two times.
 - Resubmissions due <= 1 month after course completion.
 - Must provide a changelog and explanation.





Other Policies

Integrity and Ethics:

Homework and programs you submit for this class must be entirely your own. If this is not absolutely clear, then contact me. Any other collaboration of any type on any assignment is not permitted. It is your responsibility to protect your work from unauthorized access. Violation = failing grade and reporting.

Classroom Climate:

Arrive on time, don't talk during lecture, don't use chat unless asking or answering questions. Disruptive students will be warned and dismissed.





Other Policies

Diversity

Students in this class are expected to work with all other students, regardless of gender, race, sexuality, religion, etc. Zero-tolerance policy for discrimination.

Special Needs

We will provide reasonable accommodations to students that have disabilities. Contact teaching team early to discuss individual needs.





Let's take a break!

.





Complexity and Variability

-0

A Fairy Tale

Once upon a time there was a Good Software Engineer whose Customers knew exactly what they wanted. The Good Software Engineer worked very hard to design the Perfect System that would solve all the Customers' problems now and for decades. When the Perfect System was designed, implemented and finally deployed, the Customers were very happy indeed. The Maintainer of the System had very little to do to keep the Perfect System up and running, and the Customers and the Maintainer lived happily every after.



Why Isn't the Fairy Tale True?

- The Law of Continuing Change
 - A program used in a real-world environment must change, or become progressively less useful in that environment.
- The Law of Increasing Complexity
 - As a program evolves, it becomes more complex.
 - Resources are needed to preserve and simplify structure






Development at Google

Google repository statistics

Total number of files*	1 billion
Number of source files	9 million
Lines of code	2 billion
Depth of history	35 million commits
Size of content	86 terabytes
Commits per workday	45 thousand

"The total number of files includes source files copied into release branches, files that are deleted at the latest revision, configuration files

Millions of changes committed (cumulative)







Development at Google

- >30,000 developers in 40+ offices
- 13,000+ projects under active development
- Single code tree with mixed language code
 - All builds from source
 - 30k commits per day (1 every 3 seconds)
 - 30+ sustained code changes per minute with 90+ peaks
 - 50% of code changes monthly
 - Requires execution of 150+ million test cases / day

UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG


77


Customization



CHALMERS

Replaceable Parts





Customization




Assembly Line





Mass Customization







BMW X3, Scania Fuel Indicator



Roofliner:	Doors:	Rear axles:
90,000	3,000	324
variants	variants	variants







Number of Variants Explodes

- 30 years ago: Mass Production
 - Few extras (alternative cassette player or roof rack)
 - Single variant (Audi 80, 1.3I, 55hp) 40% of all sales
- Now: Mass Customization
 - 10²⁰ configurations at Audi, 10³² configurations at BMW
 - 100 different undercarriages, 50 steering wheels
 - Identical configurations are rarely produced
 - This is OK because of shared assets.





The Sandwich Shop

Sesame bread Wheat bread Oat bread	tive Sandwiches, Inc.
White bread Honey Mustard Mayo Mexican sauce Sweet and sour sauce Sweet and sour sauce Lettuce Tomatoes Jalapeños Pickles Bell peppers Cheddar Mozzarella Pepper Jack Swiss Ham Turkey Barbecue rib Salami Grilled Chicken	Not toasted More Cheese More Meat Pepper Salt

- Sandwiches are build to match customer requests.
- Not arbitrary. Customer chooses from a set of successive choices.
 - Meats, toppings, sauces.
 - \circ Shared assets.





Standardized Software



- Industry agreed on standard platforms
 - Ex: Excel instead of your own spreadsheet program.
- Tries to satisfy the needs of most customers.
 - For most customers, many features are not needed.
 - Can lead to software that is hard to use, slow, buggy.
 - May still be missing features, not support hardware, ...






Customized Software

- Personalization
 - individual requirements, look & feel, special algorithms
- Hardware
 - robots (sensors and actuators), computers (hardware devices)
- Resource restrictions
 - energy consumption, performance, memory demand
- Software and product quality
 - usability
 - maintenance/verification/validation effort grows with functionality





Complexity Grows in Time and Space








Variability Adds Complexity







Variability in Software Ecosystems












	eCos L	inux Kernal	Eclipse	Debian	Android
What:	embedded OS,	OS kernal,	IDE,	desktop/server OS	, mobile OS
Customization:	config options,	config options,	add-ons,	packages,	apps (event handling)





Variability in Software Ecosystems







Controlling Variability

- Variability mechanisms introduced controlled points where customization can occur.
 - called variation points
- Variation points determine the concrete software variants that can occur.
 - In a closed system, we know how many variants are possible (Linux kernal)
 - In an open system, we cannot know all possible variants
 - (Android ex: different apps can be bound to variation points)





Variability Mechanisms

- Linux and eCos use feature models
 - Hierarchical menus of config options
 - Centralized list of options, constraints limit combinations.
- Debian and Eclipse use manifest-based packages.
 - Structured metadata about the options.
 - Version info, dependencies, categorization.
 - Central repositories
- Android uses service-oriented apps.
 - Provide a common interface and constraints on capabilities
 - Hook to concrete apps at run-time.









Variability Management

- Friction between managing variability and encouraging it.
 - Management allows control of scope, limitation of number of variants.
 - However, encouragement unleashes innovation and encourages competition.
- Many options we will cover in class will help manage and control variability.






Software Product Lines

-0





Software Customization







Software Product Lines

- Build variants from reusable shared assets.
 - Customers select from configuration options.
 - Assets = code components, interfaces, other resources.
- Enables customization, while retaining benefits of mass production.
 - Avoids explosion in "space" as we manage the portfolio of assets instead of each individual variant.



Feature-Oriented Approach

- Features distinguish products from a product line.
 - Editor Version A has spell-check. Version B does not.
 - E-mail client A supports IMAP and POP3. Client B supports only POP3.
- Product line artifacts and process structured around features and feature interactions.
 - Discuss control, implementation, verification of features.
 - Connects requirements to customizations in code.





Example: Linux Kernel

- > 8M Lines of Code
- Supports > 22 hardware architectures
- > 13,000 configuration options











UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG



Ex: Linux Configuration Tools

Option	Option			
General setup RCU Subsystem Control Group support Configure standard kernel features (for sm Configure standard kernel features (for sm COV-based kernel profiling GCOV-based kernel profiling D Enable loadable module support Lo Schedulers Processor type and features Processor type and features Q Paravirtualized guest support Power management and ACPI options Q SFI (Simple Firmware Interface) Support CPU Frequency scaling Memory power savings Bus options (PCI etc.)	Processor family O Opteron/Athlon64/Hammer/K8 O Intel P4 / older Netburst based Xeon O Core 2/newer Xeon O Intel Atom			
PCCard (PCMCIA/CardBus) support Support for PCI Hotplug Executable file formats / Emulations Networking support One Network packet filtering framework (Ne Ore Netfilter Configuration	SMT (Hyperthreading) scheduler support 2 (SCHED_SMT) 2 CONFIG_SCHED_SMT: 3 SMT scheduler support improves the CPU scheduler's 3 decision making when dealing with Intel Pentium 4 chips with			

 Kconfig used to tailor Linux kernal for custom use.

- Compiles a custom Linux kernal with only the selected options.
- Omits unnecessary or incompatible features.



Configuration Options

- Linux kernal customization managed through a **feature model**.
 - Configuration options are features.
 - Constraints are used to prevent illegal combinations.
- Conditional compilation.

CHALMERS

- If we select this option, the contained code will be compiled into the custom build. If not, it is omitted entirely.
- Unique build tailored to choices.



(3.9: arch/ia64/kernel/irq_ia64.c)

void __init init_IRQ (void) { #ifdef CONFIG_ACPI acpi_boot_init(); #endif ia64_register_ipi(); register_percpu_irq(...);





Benefits of Product Lines

- Products tailored to specific customers.
- Reduced costs, fast start-up, reduced complexity through asset reuse.
 - Slower initial effort, but it pays off quickly.
- Improved quality from testing assets in isolation.
 - Frequently used assets will be heavily tested over time.
- Can quickly produce new variants or change variants to respond to market conditions.

UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Success Stories

- Boeing
- Bosch Group

CHALMERS

- Cummins, Inc.
- Ericsson
- General Dynamics
- General Motors
- Hewlett Packard
- Lockheed Martin
- Lucent
- NASA
- Nokia
- Philips
- Siemens
- Volvo
- ... (more success stories at <u>https://splc.net/fame.html</u>)







Hewlett Packard Printer Firmware

- > 2000 features
- Hundreds of printer models.
- Production costs reduced by 75%.
- Development time reduced by 33%.
- Reported defects reduced by 96%.











We Have Learned

- Modern software is complex!
 - Variability: The ability to change and customize software to deliver variants to new users.
 - **Changeability and Maintainability:** The ability to add new features while ensuring that existing code still works.
- Software must evolve to remain useful.
 - Evolution introduces additional complexity.
- Software Product Lines are designed to enable and take advantage of reuse.





Next Time

• Introduction to Domain Engineering and Modelling

- Plan your team selection.
 - The earlier, the better!
 - Due Thursday, November 3, 11:59 PM.
 - SIX people, e-mail names to ggay@chalmers.se
 - Submit group number or desire to be assigned to a team on Canvas.



UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG



UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY