



**CHALMERS**  
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY



UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

# Exercise 6: Finite State Verification

Gregory Gay  
DIT635 - March 5, 2021

# Finish In-Class Activity First!

<https://bit.ly/2NAEYuS>

# Microwave

Consider a simple microwave controller modeled as a finite state machine using the following state variables:

- Door: {Open, Closed} -- sensor input indicating state of the door
- Button: {None, Start, Stop} -- button press (assumes at most one at a time)
- Timer: 0...999 -- (remaining) seconds to cook
- Cooking: Boolean -- state of the heating element

# Partial Model

<https://bit.ly/2NAEYuS>

MODULE microwave

VAR

```
Door: {Open, Closed};
Button: {None, Start, Stop};
Timer: 0..999;
Cooking: boolean;
```

ASSIGN

```
init(Door) := Closed;
init(Button) := None;
init(Timer) := 0;
next(Timer) :=
case
  Timer > 0 & Cooking=TRUE : Timer - 1;
  Timer > 0 & Cooking=FALSE & Button!=Stop : Timer;
  Button=Stop : 0;
  Timer=0 : 0..999;
  TRUE: Timer;
esac;
```

```
init(Cooking) := FALSE;
```

```
next(Cooking) :=
```

```
case
```

```
-- Suggestion: Start by defining the
-- conditions that would cause
-- cooking to start. Then add conditions
-- that would make it stop.
-- Finally, ensure it will continue
-- running if it is supposed to.
(FILL THIS IN)
```

```
TRUE: FALSE;
```

```
esac;
```

# Example Properties

<https://bit.ly/2NAEYuS>

- CTL: The microwave shall stop cooking after the door is opened.
  - $AG (\text{Door} = \text{Open} \rightarrow AX (\neg \text{Cooking}))$
- LTL: It shall never be the case that the microwave can continue cooking indefinitely.
  - $G (\text{Cooking} \rightarrow F (\neg \text{Cooking}))$
- Formulate the other informal requirements in temporal logic.

# Linear Time Logic Formulae

Formulae written with propositional variables (boolean properties), logical operators (and, or, not, implication), and a set of modal operators:

hunger = “I am hungry”

burger = “I eat a burger”

<b>X (next)</b>	X hunger	In the next state, I will be hungry.
<b>G (globally)</b>	G hunger	In all future states, I will be hungry.
<b>F (finally)</b>	F hunger	Eventually, there will be a state where I am hungry.
<b>U (until)</b>	hunger U burger	I will be hungry until I start to eat a burger. (hunger does not need to be true once burger becomes true)
<b>R (release)</b>	hunger R burger	I will cease to be hungry after I eat a burger. (hunger and burger are true at the same time for at least one state before hunger becomes false)

# Computation Tree Logic Formulae

Combines all-path quantifiers with path-specific quantifiers:

<b>A (all)</b>	A hunger	Starting from the current state, I must be hungry on all paths.
<b>E (exists)</b>	E hunger	There must be some path, starting from the current state, where I am hungry.

<b>X (next)</b>	X hunger	In the next state on this path, I will be hungry.
<b>G (globally)</b>	G hunger	In all future states on this path, I will be hungry.
<b>F (finally)</b>	F hunger	Eventually on this path, there will be a state where I am hungry.
<b>U (until)</b>	hunger U burger	On this path, I will be hungry until I start to eat a burger. (I must eventually eat a burger)
<b>W (weak until)</b>	hunger W burger	On this path, I will be hungry until I start to eat a burger. (There is no guarantee that I eat a burger)

# Try to Verify the Model and Properties

<https://bit.ly/2NAEYuS>

- <http://nusmv.fbk.eu/>
  - NuSMV homepage (tool download, tutorials, etc.)
  - Use NuSMV 2.6.
- Try to define next(Cooking) such that the two example properties hold. See if your properties hold.
  - If they don't, make sure the properties are correct.
  - Then, make sure the model is complete and correct.





UNIVERSITY OF  
GOTHENBURG

---



**CHALMERS**  
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY